



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**MAILED**

**JAN 13 2003**

**Technology Center 2100**

#10  
1/13/03  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 10

Raymond R. Berdie  
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.  
P.O. Box 2938  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

In re Application of: Craig R. Burfeind et al. ) **DECISION ON PETITION UNDER**  
Application No. 09/834,160 ) **37 C.F.R. § 1.102 (d) AND M.P.E.P.**  
Filed: April 12, 2001 ) **708.02 (VIII): ACCELERATED**  
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ) **EXAMINATION**  
MOBILE PERSONAL RADAR )

This is a decision on the petition, filed March 5, 2002 under 37 C.F.R. 1.102(d) and M.P.E.P. 708.02(VIII): Accelerated Examination, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

M.P.E.P. 708.02, Section VIII which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. 1.102(d) states in relevant part:

A new application (one which has not received any examination by the examiner) may be granted special status provided that applicant (and this term includes applicant's attorney or agent) complies with each of the following items:

- (a) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h);
- (b) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status.
- (c) Submits a statement(s) that a pre-examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;
- (d) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and
- (e) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

In those instances where the request for this special status does not meet all the prerequisites set forth above, applicant will be notified and the defects in the request will be stated. The application will remain in the status of a new application awaiting action in its regular turn. In those instances where a request is defective in one or more respects, applicant will be given one opportunity to perfect the request in a renewed petition to make special. If perfected, the request will then be granted. If not perfected in the first renewed petition, any additional renewed petitions to make special may or may not be considered at the discretion of the Group Special Program Examiner.

Applicant's submission is deficient in that there is no detailed discussion of the references as required by section (e) to the extent required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c). The submission does not satisfy the requirement, as it does not provide a ***detailed discussion*** of the references that are deemed most closely related. Applicant's general characterization of the references and statements that the references "do not teach or suggest the wireless client device" recited in the claims do not comply with the requirements of pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over the applied references. Note that the further *identical statement*, that essentially encompass the entirety of claims 20 and 28, except for "a processor", is not taught or suggested by the reference, is presented for each of the references purported to be "most closely related". That is, the detailed discussion of the references as required by section (e) does not have the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c).

Accordingly, the Petition is **DISMISSED**. The application file is being forwarded to Central Files to await examination in its proper turn based on its effective filing date.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within two months of the mailing date of this decision. Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 306-4160.



Pinchus M. Laufer  
Special Programs Examiner  
Technology Center 2100  
Computer Security, Architecture, and Software  
(703) 306-4160